



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,505	06/29/2001	Steven H. Walker	578-0012A	8100

7590 10/10/2002

CLIFFORD G. FRAYNE
Suite 7A
136 Drum Point Road
Brick, NJ 08723

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

KATCHEVES, BASIL S

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3635

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/896,505	WALKER ET AL. 
Examiner	Art Unit	
Basil Katcheves	3635	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 August 2002.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,771,653 to Dolati et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,535,569 to Seccombe et al.

Regarding claims 1, 2 and 11, Dolati discloses a hollow flange comprised of a first flange side member parallel to the top web member, a second flange side member parallel to the leg, and a third side flange member parallel to the top web member. However, Dolati does not disclose the third side flange member terminating with a margin member juxtaposed to the leg. Seccombe discloses an elongated metallic structural member having a third side flange member (fig. 1: 19) which terminates with a margin member juxtaposed to the leg member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dolati by terminating the third side flange member in such a way in order to increase the strength and rigidity of the member.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 5, 7, 9 and 10, Dolati discloses a second and third web member with side flanges (fig. 4: 43). However, these web members are positioned on

Art Unit: 3635

the left and right sides, parallel to the legs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Dolati by aligning these extra web members between the legs and above the first web (fig. 3: 24) in order to create a more rigid structural member by supporting the legs.

Regarding claims 6 and 8, Dolati discloses an elongated structural member having mirror leg members terminating at their ends with outwardly extending hollow flanges (fig. 3).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the hollow flange members, Dolati and Seccombe both disclose hollow flange members at their leg ends. However, only Seccombe discloses the juxtaposed member in fig. 1 as meeting the limitations of the instant application. The above rejection reflects the combination of both references. Seccombe does not disclose two leg members as does Dolati. However, both are structural sheet metal supports and the incorporation of the flange member disclosed by Seccombe as shown in fig. 1 would help strengthen the legs of Dolati without changing the utility of the intended purpose. Regarding the second parallel web for securing with a fastener, the leg component of Dolati teaches an additional strengthening web (fig. 4: 43). Dolati contains a parallel web member secured by fasteners for additional strength (fig. 4:43). It would have been an obvious improvement to move the web member to span the top web.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Basil Katcheves whose telephone number is (703) 306-0232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman, can be reached at (703) 308-0832.

BK BK

10/7/02



Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600